## Preparation and Identification of some new Pyrazolopyrin derivatives and their Polymerizations study

Redha I. H. Al-Bayati\* Mahmoud A.Al-Issa\*\* Zaynab H. Fadel Al-Mosawy\*\*

> Received 20, December, 2012 Accepted 5, February, 2014

### Abstract:

This work describes the synthesis and polymerization properties of some novel polysubstituted fused heterocyclic ring Systems namely; Pyrano [4, 3-C] Pyrazoles and pyrazolo [4, 3-C] Pyridines. Such targeted compounds where designed so as to hybridize the Pyrazole ring with the pyrone and/or pyridine moieties, respectively. The chemistry of the reactions employed in the synthesis of the target compounds together with their chemical behavior, are discussed and the structures of the newly synthesized compounds were confirmed by the IR and <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectral data. A series of copolymers 1-(3,6-dimethyl-4-oxo-1,4-dihydro-5H-pyrazolo [4,3-C] pyridin-5-yl)-1H-pyrrole-2,5-Dione S<sub>1</sub>, 1-[2-(3,6-dimethyl-4-oxo-1-phenyl-1, 4-dihydro-5Hpyrazolo [4,3-C] pyridin-5-yl)ethyl]-1H-pyrrole-2,5-Dione S<sub>2</sub> and 1-(3, 6-dimethyl-4oxo-1-phenyl-1,4-dihydro-5H-pyrazolo[4,3-C]pyridin-5-yl)-1H-pyrrole 2,5-Dione S<sub>3</sub> with Acrylic acid (AA) were prepared by free radical polymerization in absolute ethanol at 70C° using Benzoyl peroxide as initiator. The reactivity ratios of the monomers were determined by Fineman- Ross and Kelen-Tudos methods. Mean Sequence lengths of copolymers are estimated from  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  values. It shows that the AA unit increases in a linear fashion in the polymer chain as the concentration of AA increases in the monomer feed.

Key words: Synthesis; Fused Pyrazoles,1-(3,6-dimethyl-4-oxo-1,4-dihydro-5H-pyrazolo [4,3-C] pyridin-5-yl)-1H-pyrrole-2,5-Dione S<sub>1</sub>, 1-[2-(3,6-dimethyl-4-oxo-1-phenyl-1,4-dihydro-5H-pyrazolo[4,3-C]pyridin-5-yl)ethyl]-1H-pyrrole-2,5-DioneS<sub>2</sub> and 1-(3,6-dimethyl-4-oxo-1-phenyl-1,4-dihydro-5H-pyrazolo[4,3-C]pyridin-5-yl)-1H-pyrrole-2,5-Dione S<sub>3</sub>, reactivity ratios, copolymer composition, Mean Sequence lengths.

### **Introduction:**

Among the wide variety of heterocycles that have been explored for developing potential pharmacologically active compounds, pyrazoles fused with different heterocycles that known are to contribute to various chemotherapeutic emerged effects have as antimicrobial, [1,2] antifungal, [3] and antiviral agents.[4] In addition, some pyrazole derivatives fused were reported induce various antileukemic, [5] antitumor [6, 7] and

antiproliferative [8, 9] activities. Motivated by these facts, we were interested to synthesize and investigate the in vitro anticancer, antibacterial and antifungal activities of some novel polysubstituted fused heterocyclic ring namely; pyrano systems [4,3 C]pyrazoles and pyrazolo [4,3-cC] pyridines. Such targeted compounds where designed so as to hybridize the pyrazole ring with the pyrone and/or pyridine moieties, respectively, hoping to obtain synergistic anticancer and/or

\*Chemistry Department, College of Science, AL-Mustansiriyah University \*\*Chemistry Department, College of Science for Women, Baghdad University antimicrobial activities. The structures of the newly synthesized compounds confirmed with elementary were microanalyses and substantiated with IR and 1H-NMR data. The chemical structure of a copolymer depends not only on the two-monomer units forming the macromolecule, but also on how such units are distributed along macromolecular chains. This distribution is a direct consequence of each monomer's reactivity in the copolymer molecule [10-13]. The determination copolymer of composition and reactivity ratios of the monomers is important in evaluating the specific application of the copolymer [14]. The monomer reactivity ratios determined by conventional linearization methods. copolymer composition The was determined by Kjeldahl analysis for the copolymer. Knowledge of the copolymer composition is an important step in the evaluation of its utility. Copolymer composition and monomer distribution in the copolymer are dependent on the reactivity ratios. The most common mathematical model of copolymerization is based on finding relationship the between the composition of copolymers and the composition of the monomer feed in which the monomer reactivity ratios are the parameters to be determined [15-18]. The accurate estimation of copolymer composition and determination of monomer reactivity ratios are significant for tailor-made copolymers with required physical and chemical properties and in evaluating the specific end application of the copolymers. The present article reports the synthesis and characterization of series of copolymers  $S_1$ ,  $S_2$  and  $S_3$  with Acrylic acid. The determination of monomer reactivity ratios of the monomers and mean sequence lengths of copolymers are also reported.

### **Materials and Methods:**

Melting points were determined open glass capillaries on a in Gallenkamp Melting point apparatus. The infrared (IR) spectra were recorded on Shimadzu FT-IR 8400S infrared spectrophotometer using the KBr Plate technique. The 1H-NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian EM 360 spectrometer using tetramethylsilane internal as the standard and DMSO-d6 as the solvent (Chemical shifts in  $\delta$ , ppm). Splitting patterns were designated as follows: S: Singlet; D: Doublet; M: Multiplet. Kjeldahl analyses were performed at the Laboratory College of Science University of the Baghdad.

# Pyrazoles, 1-(3, 6-dimethyl-4-oxo-1,4-dihydro-5H-pyrazolo [4,3-C] pyridin-5-yl)-1H-pyrrole-2,5-Dione $S_1$ :

A solution of the appropriate 5-6-Dimethyl-2H-Pyridine-Amino-3. 4(5H) one (5gm, 0.0281mole) in Glacial acetic acid (25ml) was refluxed with Maleic anhydride (5.51gm 0.0562mole) for 14hr The reaction mixture was concentrated to half its volume and allowed to cool in ice bath. The solid product separated by filtration, washed thoroughly with water, dried and recrystallized from Physicochemical ethanol. and analytical data are recorded in Table 1. 1HNMR and IR spectra are shown in Table 2.

### 1-[2-(3, 6-dimethyl-4-oxo-1-phenyl-1,4-dihydro-5H-pyrazolo[4,3-C]pyridin-5-yl)ethyl]-1H-pyrrole-2,5-Dione S<sub>2</sub>:

A solution of the appropriate 5-(2-aminoethyl)-3, 6-dimethyl-1phenyl-1, 5-dihydro-4H-pyrazolo [4, 3c] pyridine-4-one (5gm , 0.0177mole) in Glacial acetic acid (25ml) was refluxed with Maleic anhydride (3.47gm , 0.0354mole) for 14hr The reaction mixture was concentrated to half its volume and allowed to cool ice bath. The solid product separated by filtration, washed thoroughly with water, dried and recrystallized from ethanol. Physicochemical and analytical data are recorded in Table 1. 1HNMR and IR spectra are shown in Table 2.

### 1-(3, 6-dimethyl-4-oxo-1-phenyl-1,4dihydro-5H-pyrazolo[4,3-C]pyridin-5-yl)-1H-pyrrole-2,5-Dione S<sub>3</sub>:

A solution of the appropriate 5amino-3, 6-dimethyl-1-phenyl-1, 5dihydro-4H-pyrazolo [4, 3-c] pyridine-4-one (5gm, 0.0197mole) in Glacial acetic acid (25ml) was refluxed with anhydride Maleic (3.86gm 0.0394mole) for 14hr The reaction mixture was concentrated to half its volume and allowed to cool ice bath. The solid product separated bv filtration, washed thoroughly with water, dried and recrystallized from ethanol. Physicochemical and analytical data are recorded in Table 1. 1HNMR and IR spectra are shown in Table 2.

Acrylic acid was washed with water and dried over anhydrous CaCl2. The Acrylic acid was then distilled in an atmosphere of Nitrogen under reduced pressure. The clean and dried Acrylic acid stored in bottle and kept in the refrigerator at 5° C. Benzoyl proxide (BPO) was recrystallized from chloroform. Diethyl ether was dried with Megnesium Sulphate All the solvents were purified by distillation prior to their use. The Comonomers of series of  $S_1$ ,  $S_2$ ,  $S_3$  was purified by

washing successively with 5% NaOH and distilled water, dried, and finally distilled at reduced pressure under nitrogen at 60 °C.

### Copolymerization

A total feed of 5 gm of series monomers  $S_1$ ,  $S_2$  and  $S_3$  with Acrylic acid and 0.1gm of BPO initiator were dissolved in 8ml of ethanol placed in a standard reaction tube to obtain a homogenous solution. The mixture was flushed with oxygen free dry nitrogen gas. The reaction tubes then immersed in a thermostatic water bath maintained at 70°C. The copolymerization reaction was allowed to proceed for an appropriate duration that would give a conversion below 10%. Then cooled to R.T. The solution poured in petroleum ether to precipitate the copolymer. The copolymer washed with petroleum ether and dried in vacuum oven for 24 hours.

### Instrumentation

The 1H-NMR spectra of monomers were recorded on the Bruker DMX-500 NMR Spectrophotometer operating at 300-600 MHz respectively DMSO-d6 as the solvent.

### **Results and Discussion:** Copolymerization

A series of copolymers  $S_1$ ,  $S_2$  and  $S_3$ with Acrylic acid were prepared by free radical polymerization in ethanol at 70 °C using BPO as initiator. The schematic representation of the copolymer is given bellow:



Fig. 1: Copolymerization of S1, S2 and S3 with Acrylic acid

# Determination of copolymer composition

The copolymer composition was determined by Kjeldahl analysis for the copolymer.

### **Reactivity ratios**

The monomer feed ratios and the resultant copolymer compositions, the reactivity ratios of monomers 1 ( $S_1$ ,  $S_2$ ,  $S_3$ ) and monomer 2 (AA) were evaluated by the methods of Fineman-Ross (F-R) and Kelen- Tudos (K-T). The significant parameters of F-R and K-T and equation are presented in Table 3,7,11 and Table 4.8.12 respectively. The reactivity ratios for  $S_1$ ,  $S_2$ ,  $S_3$  ( $r_1$ ) and AA ( $r_2$ ) from the F-R plot (Figure 2) and K-T plot are given in Table 5,9,13 and (Figure 3). The value(S) of  $r_1$  is less than 1 and  $r_2$  is greater than 1. The  $r_1$  shows that  $S_1$ ,  $S_2$ , S<sub>3</sub> favors cross-propagation as opposed to homopropagation and  $r_2$  shows that AA favors homopropagation over cross-propagation. The  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  together show that AA is generally more reactive than  $S_1$ ,  $S_2$ ,  $S_3$ , hence the copolymers contain a higher proportion of AA units.

### Mean sequence length

The mean sequence length was determined using the pertinent equations:

$$\mu_{1} = r_{1} \frac{M_{1}}{M_{2}} + 1 \qquad (1)$$
  
$$\mu_{2} = r_{2} \frac{M_{2}}{M_{1}} + 1 \qquad (2)$$

 $[M_1]$  represent the concentration of  $S_1$ ,  $S_2$  or  $S_3$  and  $[M_2]$  AA, in the monomer feed. The mean sequence lengths of copolymers are given in Table 6, 10, 14. It is significant to note that from the Table 6, 10, 14 the AA units'

increases in a linear fashion in the polymer chain as the concentration of AA increases in the monomer feed.

Table1. Physicochemical and	
analytical data for compounds 1	-3.

Cpd No.	R	Yield (%)	<b>M.p.</b> (°C)	Mol. Form.
S <sub>1</sub>	-	93.56	80-82	$C_{12}H_{10}N_4O_3$
$S_2$	C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub>	83.59	76-78	$C_{20}H_{18}N_4O_3$
<b>S</b> <sub>3</sub>	C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub>	85.7	140-142	$C_{18}H_{14}N_4O_3$

# Table2. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR( $\delta$ -ppm) and IR(Cm<sup>-1</sup>) spectra of some1-3.

Cpd	CH <sub>3</sub> (S, 3H)	H-6 (S, 1H)	IR (Cm <sup>-1</sup> )
$S_1$	-	-	1716.65(CO), 3414(NH)
$S_2$	1.789,2.657	6.099	1716.65(CO)
<b>S</b> <sub>3</sub>	3.023,3.054	5.552	1716.65(CO)

<b>Table3: Fineman-Ross</b>	narameters for the	Conolymerizatio	on of $S_1 - C_0 - AA$
Labics, Fineman-Ross	parameters for the	Coporymerizan	$\mathbf{H} \mathbf{U} \mathbf{U} \mathbf{U} = \mathbf{U} \mathbf{U} - \mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}$

Mole fraction of S <sub>1</sub> in feed,M <sub>1</sub>	Mole fraction of AA in feed, M <sub>2</sub>	fraction of S <sub>1</sub> in copolymer, m <sub>1</sub>	Mole fraction of AA in copolymer, m <sub>2</sub>	F=M <sub>1</sub> /M <sub>2</sub>	F=m <sub>1</sub> /m <sub>2</sub>	(F-1) /f	F /f <sup>2</sup>
0.2	0.8	0.003824	0.015496	0.250	0.2468	-3.3666	2.8560
0.3	0.7	0.004391	0.010309	0.429	0.4259	-1.7283	1.4548
0.4	0.6	0.006016	0.009072	0.667	0.6631	-1.0131	0.7464
0.5	0.5	0.007552	0.007958	1.000	0.9489	-0.3764	0.7139
0.6	0.4	0.009063	0.006135	1.500	1.4771	-0.0822	0.4027
0.7	0.3	0.010578	0.004581	2.333	2.3091	0.2008	0.2745
0.8	0.2	0.012087	0.003082	4.000	3.9213	0.2024	0.1167

# Table4: kelen-Tudos parameters for the Copolymerization of $S_1 - C_0 - AA$

ine Copo	the Copolymenization of S <sub>1</sub> – Co –AA						
G = F(f-1)	H =F2 /	N =G /( $\alpha$ +	$A = H / (\alpha$				
/ f	f	H)	+ H)				
-1.1791	0.3501	-0.5662	0.1681				
-1.1871	0.6874	-0.4906	0.2841				
-1.3573	1.3397	-0.4418	0.4361				
-0.5273	1.4007	-0.1683	0.4518				
-0.2041	2.4833	-0.0484	0.5891				
0.7315	3.6428	0.1361	0.6777				
1.7351	8.5726	0.1684	0.8319				
1 = 2 2 4	1						

α= 1.7324

Table5.Copolymerizationparameter, for the Copolymerizationof  $S_1 - Co - AA$ 

Methods	$\mathbf{r}_1$	$\mathbf{r}_2$	<b>r</b> <sub>1</sub> . <b>r</b> <sub>2</sub>
Fineman-Ross (F-R)	0.38	1.35	0.513
Kelen-Tudos (K-T)	0.30	1.42	0.426

# Table6. Mean Sequence Lengths in Copolymerization of $S_1 - C_0 - AA$

copolymenzation of S <sub>1</sub> co AA					
AA in feed, M <sub>2</sub> (Mole %)	μ'1	μ'2	μ' <sub>1</sub> : μ'2	Distribution	
0.80	1.0587	9.0014	1:9	SA <sub>9</sub> S	
0.70	1.0897	6.2292	1:6	SA <sub>6</sub> S	
0.60	1.1116	5.2047	1:5	SA <sub>5</sub> S	
0.50	1.2186	3.1467	1:3	SAAAS	
0.40	1.2987	2.5706	1:2	SAAS	
0.30	1.4977	1.9428	1:2	SAAS	
0.20	1.6099	1.7694	2:2	SSAASS	
0.20		1 35			

 $r_1 = 0.38$ ;  $r_2 = 1.35$ 

Only a few cases are illustrated (S = S<sub>1</sub>; A=AA)



Fig.2: Fineman-Ross (F-R) plot

Fig. 3: kelen-Tudos (K-T) plot

Mole	Mole	Fraction of	Mole				
fraction	fraction	$S_2$	fraction of				
of $S_2$ in	of AA in	in	AA in	$F=M_1/M_2$	$F=m_1/m_2$	(F-1) /f	$\mathbf{F}/\mathbf{f}^2$
feed, $M_1$	feed,	copolymer,	copolymer,				
ieeu, wi <sub>1</sub>	$M_2$	$\mathbf{m}_1$	$\mathbf{m}_2$				
0.2	0.8	0.002428	0.009735	0.250	0.2494	-3.4844	2.1061
0.3	0.7	0.003127	0.007306	0.429	0.4280	-1.7888	1.2795
0.4	0.6	0.004297	0.006450	0.667	0.6662	-1.1396	0.8131
0.5	0.5	0.005256	0.005279	1.000	0.9956	-0.5803	0.4261
0.6	0.4	0.006545	0.004367	1.500	1.4987	-0.1779	0.3264
0.7	0.3	0.007495	0.003216	2.333	2.3305	0.0195	0.1926
0.8	0.2	0.008285	0.002076	4.000	3.9908	0.1033	0.0887

### Table8: kelen-Tudos parameters for the Copolymerization of S<sub>2</sub> – Co – AA

Tubleot helen Tuu	Tubleot herein Tudos purumeters for the coportymerization of $S_2 = co - fift$						
G = F(f-1) / f	H = F2 / f	N =G /( $\alpha$ + H)	$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{H} / (\boldsymbol{\alpha} + \mathbf{H})$				
-1.6544	0.4748	-0.5933	0.1703				
-1.3979	0.7816	-0.4516	0.2525				
-1.4017	1.230	-0.3955	0.3471				
-1.3620	2.3471	-0.2922	0.5036				
-0.5449	3.0638	-0.1013	0.5697				
0.1012	5.1931	0.0135	0.6918				
1.1642	11.2749	0.0857	0.8297				

α=2.3137

### Table9. Copolymerization parameter, for the Copolymerization of $S_2 - Co - AA$

Methods	<b>r</b> <sub>1</sub>	$\mathbf{r}_2$	<b>r</b> <sub>1</sub> . <b>r</b> <sub>2</sub>
Fineman-Ross (F-R)	0.31	1.77	0.5478
Kelen-Tudos (K-T)	0.3	1.7376	0.5213

### Table10. Mean Sequence Lengths in Copolymerization of S<sub>2</sub> - Co - AA

AA in feed, M <sub>2</sub> (Mole %)	μ'1	μ'2	μ' <sub>1</sub> : μ' <sub>2</sub>	Distribution
0.80	1.0310	14.3623	1:14	SA <sub>14</sub> S
0.70	1.0715	8.4653	1:8	SA <sub>8</sub> S
0.60	1.0926	6.7640	1:6	SA <sub>6</sub> S
0.50	1.1288	5.1442	1:5	SA <sub>5</sub> S
0.40	1.2237	3.3861	1:3	SAAAS
0.30	1.3188	2.6741	1:3	SAAAS
0.20	1.4307	2.2394	1:2	SAAS

 $r_1 = 0.31$ ;  $r_2 = 1.77$ 

Only a few cases are illustrated (S =  $S_2$ ; A=AA)



Fig.4: Fineman-Ross (F-R) plot

Fig. 3: kelen-Tudos (K-T) plot

Mole fraction of S <sub>3</sub> in feed,M <sub>1</sub>	Mole fraction of AA in feed, M <sub>2</sub>	fraction of $S_3$ in copolymer, $m_1$	Mole fraction of AA in copolymer, m <sub>2</sub>	F=M <sub>1</sub> /M <sub>2</sub>	F=m <sub>1</sub> /m <sub>2</sub>	(F-1) /f	F /f <sup>2</sup>
0.2	0.8	0.002363	0.009517	0.250	0.2483	-3.3645	2.6677
0.3	0.7	0.003466	0.008101	0.429	0.4278	-1.7623	1.3448
0.4	0.6	0.004736	0.007118	0.667	0.6654	-1.0110	0.7262
0.5	0.5	0.005687	0.005734	1.000	0.9918	-0.5597	0.4521
0.6	0.4	0.006704	0.004493	1.500	1.4921	-0.1885	0.3229
0.7	0.3	0.008076	0.003479	2.333	2.3214	0.1588	0.2540
0.8	0.2	0.009019	0.002273	4.000	3.9679	0.2714	0.1319

### Table12: kelen-Tudos parameters for the Copolymerization of S<sub>3</sub> – Co – AA

$\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{f} - 1) / \mathbf{f}$	H = F2 / f	N =G /( $\alpha$ + H)	$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{H} / (\boldsymbol{\alpha} + \mathbf{H})$	
-1.2602	0.3748	-0.6117	0.1819	
-1.3105	0.7436	-0.5395	0.3061	
-1.4047	1.3771	-0.4587	0.4497	
-1.2392	2.2121	-0.3179	0.5676	
-0.5836	3.0965	-0.1220	0.6475	
0.6250	3.9372	0.1266	0.7975	
2.0573	7.5799	0.2220	0.8181	

 $\alpha = 1.6855$ 

#### Table13. Copolymerization parameter, for the Copolymerization of S<sub>3</sub> - Co - AA

Methods	r <sub>1</sub>	r <sub>2</sub>	<b>r</b> <sub>1</sub> . <b>r</b> <sub>2</sub>
Fineman-Ross (F-R)	0.27	1.41	0.3807
Kelen-Tudos (K-T)	0.24	1.6026	0.3846

### Table14. Mean Sequence Lengths in Copolymerization of S<sub>3</sub> - Co - AA

AA in feed, M <sub>2</sub> (Mole %)	μ' <sub>1</sub>	μ'2	μ' <sub>1</sub> : μ' <sub>2</sub>	Distribution
0.80	1.0420	10.1460	1:10	SA <sub>10</sub> S
0.70	1.0628	7.1153	1:7	SA <sub>7</sub> S
0.60	1.0820	5.6836	1:6	SA <sub>6</sub> S
0.50	1.1134	4.3861	1:4	SAAAAS
0.40	1.1833	3.0938	1:3	SAAAS
0.30	1.3490	2.0997	1:2	SAAS
0.20	1.5296	1.7247	2:2	SSAASS

 $r_1=0.27$ ;  $r_2=1.41$ 

Only a few cases are illustrated ( $S = S_3$ ; A=AA)



Fig. 4: Fineman-Ross (F-R) plot

### **References:**

[1] Holla, B.S., Mahalinga, M., Karthikeyan, M.S., Akberali, P.M. and Shetty, N.S. 2006. Bioorg. Med. Chem. 14: 2040.

[2] Shamroukh, A.H., Zaki, M.E.A., Morsy, E.M.H., Abdel-Motti, F.M. and Abdel-Megeid, F.M.E. 2007. Arch. Pharm. Chem. Life Sci. 340: 345.

[3] Akbas, E. and Berber, I. 2005. Eur. J. Med. Chem. 40: 401.

[4] Yan, R.Z., Liu, X.Y., Xu, W.F., Pannecouque, C., Witvrouw, M. and De Clercq, E. 2006. Arch. Pharm. Res. 29: 957.

[5] Chou, L.C., Huang, L.J., Yang, J.S., Lee, F.Y., Teng, C.M. and Kuo, S.C. 2007. Bioorg. Med.Chem. 15: 1732.

[6] Li, J., Zhao, Y.F., Zhao, X.L., Yuan, X.Y. and Gong, P. 2006. Arch. Pharm. Chem. Life Sci. 339: 593.

[7] Krystof, V., Moravcova, D., Paprskarova, M., Barbier, P., Peyrot, V., Hlobilkova, A.,Havlicek, L. and Strnad, M. 2006. Eur. J. Med. Chem. 41: 1405.

[8] Schenone, S., Bruno, O., Ranise, A., Bondavalli, F., Brullo, C., Fossa, P., Mosti, L.,Menozzi, G., Carraro, F., Naldini, A., Bernini, C., Manettic, F. and Botta, M. 2004. Bioorg.Med. Chem. Lett. 14: 2511.

[9] Daidone, G., Raffa, D., Maggio, B., Raimondi, M. V., Plescia, F. and Schillaci, D. 2004. Eur. J. Med. Chem. 39: 219.



Fig. 3: kelen-Tudos (K-T) plot

[10] Gatica N., Natali F., Alejandra O., Deodato R. 2005. Synthesis and characterization of functionalized vinyl copolymers I: Structure-monomer reactivity relationship in copolymers containing N-vinyl-2-pyrrolidone moieties. Journal of the Chilean Chemical Society, 50,581–585.

[11] Leroux J., Roux E., Le Garrec D., Hong K., Drummond D. C. 2001. Nisopropylacrylamide copolymers for the preparation of pH-sensitive liposomes and polymeric micelles. Journal of Controlled Release, 72, 71– 84.

[12] Kurisawa M., Yokayama M., Okano T. 2000. Gene expression control by temperature with thermoresponsive polymeric gene carriers. Journal of Controlled Release, 69, 127–137.

[13] Kofukuta E. 1993. Novel application for stimulussensitive polymer gels in the preparation of functional immobilized biocatalysts. Advances in Polymer Science, 110, 157–176.

[14] Pitchumani S., Rami Reddy B. S., Rajadurai C. 1982. Reactivity ratios of ethyl acrylate, n-butyl methacrylate copolymer system by 1H-NMR analysis. Journal of Polymer Science: Polymer Chemistry Edition, 20, 277– 282.

[15] Dube M., Sanayei R. A., Penlidis A., O' Driscoll K. F., Reilly P. M. 1991. A micro computer program for estimation of copolymerization reactivity ratios. Journal of Polymer Science, 29, 703–708.

[16] Polic A. L., Duever T. A., Penlidis A. 1998. Case studies and literature review on the estimation of copolymerization reactivity ratios. Journal of Polymer Science Part A: Polymer Chemistry, 36, 813–822.

[17] Hagiopol C., Frangu O., Dumitru L. 1989. A nonlinear method for

estimation of reactivity ratios incopolymerization processes. Journal of Macromolecular Science Chemistry Edition, 26, 1363–1379.

[18] Arshady R., Kenner, G. W., and Led with A. 1974. Phenolic resins for solid-phase peptide synthesis: Copolymerization of styrene and pacetoxystyrene, Journal of Polymer Science Polymer Chemistry Edition,12 (9), 2017 – 2025.

## تحضير و تشخيص بعض مشتقات الباير ازولوبايرين الجديدة و بلمرتها

محمود عبد الجبار العيسى \*\* رضا البياتي\* زينب حسين فاضل الموسو ي\*\*

\*الجامعة المستنصرية / كلية العلوم / قسم الكيمياء \*\*جامعة بغداد / كلية العلوم للبنات / قسم الكيمياء

الخلاصة:

هذا البحث يصف تحضير وتشخيص و بلمرة بعض المركبات الحلقية غير المتجانسة المدمجة وهي؛ Pyrazoles [Pyrazoles [4,3-C] Pyrazoles [4,3-4,3-C] Pyrazoles . مثل هذه المركبات تتعين لتهجين حلقة البيرازول مع البيرون و / أو أصناف بيريدين، على التوالي. التفاعلات الكيميائية المستخدمة في تحضير المركبات تصف جنبا إلى جنب السلوك الكيميائي، مناقشة و تشخيص تركيب المركبات المحضرة في تحضير المركبات تصف جنبا إلى جنب السلوك الكيميائي، مناقشة و تشخيص تركيب المركبات المحضرة بوساطة IR و H-NMR و II- المعلومات الطيفية. السلاسل البوليمرية-1,4-0x0 [4,3-6] بوساطة IR و H-NMR المعلومات الطيفية. السلاسل البوليمرية-1,4-0x0 [4,3-6] في تحضير المركبات تصف جنبا إلى جنب السلوك الكيميائي، مناقشة و تشخيص تركيب المركبات المحضرة و المواجد [4,3-6] المعلومات الطيفية. السلاسل البوليمرية-1,4-0x0 [4,3-6] في تحضير المركبات تصف جنبا إلى جنب السلوك الكيميائي، مناقشة و تشخيص تركيب المركبات المحضرة و المواجد [4,3-6] المعلومات الطيفية. السلاسل البوليمرية-1,4-0x0 [4,3-6] و المواجد و المراح-1,4-0x0 [4,3-6] و المواجد و المواجد و المواجد و المواجد و المور و المواجد و المركبات [4,3-6] و والمواجد و المواجد و المواجد و المواجد و المواجد و المواجد و المور و المواجد و المواجد و المواجد و المواجد و المواجع و المواجد و المواجد و المواجد و المواجد و المواجد و المواجد و المواجع و و المواجع و المواجع و المواجد و المور و المواجع و المواجد و المواجع و المواجد و المواجد و المواجع و المواجع و المواجع و المواجع و المواجع و المواجد و المواجع و المونوم و المواجع و الموني و المواجع و المواجع و المواجع و المونوم و المواجع و الموني و المواجع و المواجع و المواجع و المواجع و المونوم و المونوم و المواجع و المواجع